

On integrals of fractional parts and the theory of prime differences

Roupam Ghosh
B.Sc.(Computer Science), 2007
University of Pune
Pune, India

Email: roupam.ghosh@gmail.com

August 31, 2010

Abstract

In this paper we study the integrals of fractional parts of given functions, and develop some new tools to understand the behaviour of prime differences. We demonstrate how simply some seemingly difficult conjectures related to prime differences can be dealt with. Some, good results discussed here includes, the well know conjecture on prime gaps by Cramér and $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n < \infty$. Based on some simple assumptions, we have demonstrated how to tackle such problems.

1 Background

"How thoroughly it is ingrained in mathematical science that every real advance goes hand in hand with the invention of sharper tools and simpler methods which, at the same time, assist in understanding earlier theories and in casting aside some more complicated developments." – David Hilbert

The point of this paper is same as the above quote. We introduce a new tool and simpler methods based on our theory of fractional parts, and discuss how it can assist in understanding the theory of prime differences. We shed light on earlier results on prime differences, and make our progress into new ones as well.

Lets start with some background of the area we are about to explore. In 1737 Euler came up with the following famous relation involving natural numbers and prime numbers

$$\frac{1}{1^n} + \frac{1}{2^n} + \frac{1}{3^n} + \cdots = \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{1}{2^n})} \cdot \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{1}{3^n})} \cdot \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{1}{5^n})} \cdots$$

But, its importance as a tool in understanding prime number distribution, was only realized when Bernhard Riemann came into the scene. Riemann, considered the above equation from a very different perspective. Instead of using natural numbers n , he extended it to complex numbers s .

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a^s} = \prod \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{1}{p^s})}$$

In 1859, in a single short paper, titled *"On the Number of Primes Less Than a Given Magnitude"*, Riemann established the importance of the zeta function extended into the complex plane, for understanding the distribution of prime numbers. It was more than a breakthrough. It was a realization, that complex analysis, can hold the key to understanding the distribution of primes. Two seemingly unrelated fields, united in this one paper.

Ever since then, researching Riemann's Zeta function, has successfully yielded some of the classic results of analytic number theory. Extending the deep ideas of Riemann, two proofs of the asymptotic law of the distribution of prime numbers were obtained independently by Hadamard and de la Valle Poussin and appeared in the same year (1896). They showed,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi(x)}{x / \log x} = 1$$

And, that ended the long legacy of guesses starting from Legendre and Gauss, that the prime counting function $\pi(x)$ is approximated by the functions $x/(\log x - B)$ and $Li(x)$ (for some constant B), in the 18th century, respectively.

Now while, mathematicians were rejoicing the elegance of the proof of the prime number theorem, there was another camp of mathematicians, working on a different problem. They were studying the difference between two consecutive primes. Among them, Harald Cramér gave some of the most influential insights into prime differences.

Cramér showed that assuming the truth of Riemann Hypothesis, one has $p_{n+1} - p_n = O(\sqrt{p_n} \log p_n)$. He subsequently conjectured in 1937 that $p_{n+1} - p_n = O((\log p_n)^2)$, and more specifically that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (p_{n+1} - p_n) / (\log p_n)^2 = 1$$

which is a much tighter bound, than that implied by the Riemann Hypothesis. Cramér's approach was based on statistical and probabilistic grounds. These new insights, brought in a set of new problems. It became clear, that it was important to understand the extreme cases, along with the average (which had been explored through the prime number theorem). In 1931, Westzynthius proved

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (p_{n+1} - p_n) / \log p_n = \infty$$

This expression $(p_{n+1} - p_n) / \log p_n$ is now known as the merit of a prime gap. As of August 2009 the largest known merit is 35.31. So we have a long way to go before this merit reaches infinity. This also indicates the possibility, that extreme cases in the study of prime gaps, are relatively rare. Recently, in 2005, another spectacular breakthrough was made, when D. A. Goldston, J. Pintz and C. Y. Yildirim together showed,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (p_{n+1} - p_n) / \log p_n = 0$$

So, a few substantial breakthroughs were made in the theory of prime differences, but still majority of the area remains unexplored. Most cases of explorations in this uncharted territory was made using heuristic and probabilistic arguments, as was done by Cramér himself. If Cramér's conjecture were to be proven, most mathematicians agree on the fact that it is impossible right now. This is because, one of the the most important unsolved problems in mathematics, the Riemann Hypothesis, implies a condition much weaker than that given by Cramér's conjecture.

But, similar beliefs, about the provability of prime number theorem without using complex analysis, was shattered, when Selberg and Erdős gave an elementary proof of the prime number theorem in 1949. I believe, that when Riemann's eight page memoir came out, it gave a new tool for mathematicians to play with, and subsequent developments were made using those tools. But that was only useful to simplify certain kinds of problems. When new problems emerged which cannot be tamed with existing tools, new tools need to be developed to address those problems. As, we shall show in this paper, we have done exactly the same.

Infact, I could have divided this paper into two separate papers, ie., fractional parts and prime differences. But I did not do so. Instead, this paper is organized in such a way so that the reader can follow sequentially how I developed this theory.

I hope, the reader gets a good understanding of these new but simple concepts. Overall, I feel, that this paper is successful, if the reader can understand the big picture, which I wanted to explain, and realize how this theory fits into existing mathematics.

2 Preliminaries

The *fractional part* of a positive real x is denoted by $\{x\}$. Some authors, prefer to use $\text{frac}(x)$ instead of $\{x\}$, but we shall not do that in this paper. We, denote the floor function as $\lfloor x \rfloor$. To avoid any confusion, lets also keep in mind that, we are only working with positive reals and 0.

2.1 Integrals of fractional parts

Lets, consider the function $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$. $f(x)$ is strictly monotone increasing or decreasing. We, start with the following integral, for positive integers a and b , with $0 < a < b$. Since, $\{x\} + \lfloor x \rfloor = x$, we have,

$$\int_a^b \{f(x)\} dx = \int_a^b f(x) dx - \int_a^b \lfloor f(x) \rfloor dx$$

Case 1: $f(x)$ is strictly monotone increasing.

Let $\alpha = \lfloor f(a) \rfloor$ and $\beta = \lfloor f(b) \rfloor$, then we have,

$$\int_a^b \lfloor f(x) \rfloor dx = \int_a^{f^{-1}(\alpha+1)} \lfloor f(x) \rfloor dx + \int_{f^{-1}(\alpha)}^{f^{-1}(\alpha+1)} \lfloor f(x) \rfloor dx + \dots + \int_{f^{-1}(\beta-1)}^{f^{-1}(\beta)} \lfloor f(x) \rfloor dx + \int_{f^{-1}(\beta)}^b \lfloor f(x) \rfloor dx$$

(If we are to consider integrals as area under a given plot, then each of these integrals in RHS are nothing but a series of rectangular blocks). Hence, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b \lfloor f(x) \rfloor dx &= (f^{-1}(\alpha+1) - a)\alpha + \sum_{k=\alpha+1}^{\beta-1} (f^{-1}(k+1) - f^{-1}(k))k + (b - f^{-1}(\beta))\beta \\ &= (b\beta - a\alpha) - \sum_{k=\alpha+1}^{\beta} f^{-1}(k) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for strictly monotone increasing functions $f(x)$, we have

$$\int_a^b \{f(x)\} dx = \int_a^b f(x) dx - (b\beta - a\alpha) + \sum_{k=\alpha+1}^{\beta} f^{-1}(k) \quad (1)$$

Case 2: $f(x)$ is strictly monotone decreasing.

Let $\alpha = \lfloor f(a) \rfloor$ and $\beta = \lfloor f(b) \rfloor$, then we have similarly like above,

$$\int_a^b \lfloor f(x) \rfloor dx = \int_a^{f^{-1}(\alpha)} \lfloor f(x) \rfloor dx + \int_{f^{-1}(\alpha)}^{f^{-1}(\alpha-1)} \lfloor f(x) \rfloor dx + \dots + \int_{f^{-1}(\beta+2)}^{f^{-1}(\beta+1)} \lfloor f(x) \rfloor dx + \int_{f^{-1}(\beta+1)}^b \lfloor f(x) \rfloor dx$$

In this case, since $f(x)$ is strictly monotone decreasing, we get,

$$\int_a^b \lfloor f(x) \rfloor dx = (f^{-1}(\alpha) - a)\alpha + \sum_{k=\beta+1}^{\alpha-1} (f^{-1}(k) - f^{-1}(k+1))k + (b - f^{-1}(\beta+1))\beta$$

Therefore, we get

$$\int_a^b \lfloor f(x) \rfloor dx = (b\beta - a\alpha) + \sum_{k=\beta+1}^{\alpha} f^{-1}(k)$$

From which, it follows,

$$\int_a^b \{f(x)\} dx = \int_a^b f(x) dx - (b\beta - a\alpha) - \sum_{k=\beta+1}^{\alpha} f^{-1}(k) \quad (2)$$

2.2 Some interesting examples

Equations (1), (2), gives us a beautiful setting to work with functions using their inverses. Lets see how. Suppose, we are looking for an expression related to the classic $\zeta(n)$ function. We, know, for positive integers $n > 1$,

$$\zeta(n) = \frac{1}{1^n} + \frac{1}{2^n} + \frac{1}{3^n} + \dots$$

Now, here's the trick. We set $f^{-1}(x) = c^n/x^n$ for some arbitrary positive integer c not dependent on x . Now, if $f^{-1}(x) = c^n/x^n$, then $f(x) = c/x^{(1/n)}$, which is a strictly monotone decreasing function. Lets take, $a = 1$ and $b = c^n$, hence, $\alpha = c$ and $\beta = 1$. Using equation (2) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^{c^n} \{c/x^{(1/n)}\} dx &= \int_1^{c^n} c/x^{(1/n)} dx - (c^n - c) - \sum_{k=2}^c c^n/k^n \\ \frac{1}{c^n} \int_1^{c^n} \{c/x^{(1/n)}\} dx &= \frac{1}{c^n} \int_1^{c^n} c/x^{(1/n)} dx - 1 - c^{-(n-1)} - \sum_{k=2}^c 1/k^n \\ &= \frac{n}{n-1} - \frac{n}{c^{(n-1)}(n-1)} - c^{-(n-1)} - \sum_{k=1}^c 1/k^n \end{aligned}$$

Now, since, $\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^c 1/k^n = \zeta(n)$, we take limits on both sides to get,

$$\zeta(n) = \frac{n}{n-1} - \lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{c^n} \int_1^{c^n} \{c/x^{(1/n)}\} dx \quad (3)$$

In further parts of this paper, we shall concentrate on expression like the second expression on RHS of equation (3), or, to be more precise, expressions of the form.

$$\frac{1}{q} \int_p^q \left\{ \frac{q}{f(x)} \right\} dx$$

Exercise:

(1) Show that the following is true, where γ is Euler's constant

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{a} \int_1^a \{a/x\} dx = 1 - \gamma$$

2.3 Inequalities and Sequences

Take x to be any positive real, then obviously $0 \leq \{x\} < 1$ Hence, for an expression like $\int_a^b \{f(x)\} dx$ we have

$$0 \leq \int_a^b \{f(x)\} dx < b - a \quad (4)$$

This inequality, although pretty simple to derive, will come to some real use, as we proceed. Now, let's consider a special case. Suppose we have a series of positive numbers $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots$ such that if $i < j$ then $a_i < a_j$. Considering equation (4) we shall have,

$$0 \leq \int_{a_1}^{a_n} \{f(x)\} dx < a_n - a_1$$

We divide both sides by a_n and take limits as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$0 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{a_n} \int_{a_1}^{a_n} \{f(x)\} dx < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \frac{a_1}{a_n} \right)$$

Which gives us the equation,

$$0 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{a_n} \int_{a_1}^{a_n} \{f(x)\} dx < 1 \quad (5)$$

So here, we have done something different. We have derived an inequality that can bound an infinite sequence between 0 and 1. Plus, we have a function $f(x)$ that we can choose according to the needs of our problem. As, we shall see below, we will substitute $a_1, a_2, \dots$ with the sequence of prime numbers $p_1, p_2, \dots$. The results that we will get, will show actually how strong inequality (5) is with respect to prime numbers.

3 The fundamental theorem

Theorem (1). *Given $f(x) : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a continuous and strictly monotone increasing function. Consider, $a_n \in \mathbb{N}$ is the n^{th} term of a strictly monotone increasing sequence with $d_n = a_{n+1} - a_n$. Define,*

$$R_n = \frac{1}{a_n} \int_{a_1}^{a_n} \left\{ \frac{a_n}{f(x)} \right\} dx, \quad S_n = \int_{a_1}^{a_n} \frac{dx}{f(x)} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{d_n}{f(a_n)}, \quad T_n = \int_{a_1}^{a_n} \frac{dx}{f(x)} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{d_n}{f(a_{n+1})}$$

If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (T_n - S_n)$ exists, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{a_n}^{a_{n+1}} \frac{dx}{f(x)} - \frac{d_n}{f(a_n)} \right) = 0$$

Proof.

For fractional parts we have,

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{a_n} \int_{a_1}^{a_n} \left\{ \frac{a_n}{f(x)} \right\} dx < \frac{a_n - a_1}{a_n}$$

Hence, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $0 \leq R_n < 1$ That is

$$0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\int_{a_i}^{a_{i+1}} \frac{dx}{f(x)} - \frac{1}{a_n} \int_{a_i}^{a_{i+1}} \left\lfloor \frac{a_n}{f(x)} \right\rfloor dx \right) < 1 \quad (6)$$

Now, since $f(x)$ is continuous and strictly monotonically increasing, we get

$$\frac{d_i}{f(a_i)} a_n > \int_{a_i}^{a_{i+1}} \left\lfloor \frac{a_n}{f(x)} \right\rfloor dx > \frac{d_i}{f(a_{i+1})} a_n \quad (7)$$

We can derive,

$$\int_{a_i}^{a_{i+1}} \frac{dx}{f(x)} - \frac{1}{a_n} \int_{a_i}^{a_{i+1}} \left\lfloor \frac{a_n}{f(x)} \right\rfloor dx < \int_{a_i}^{a_{i+1}} \frac{dx}{f(x)} - \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{d_i}{f(a_{i+1})} a_n$$

And, also

$$\int_{a_i}^{a_{i+1}} \frac{dx}{f(x)} - \frac{1}{a_n} \int_{a_i}^{a_{i+1}} \left\lfloor \frac{a_n}{f(x)} \right\rfloor dx > \int_{a_i}^{a_{i+1}} \frac{dx}{f(x)} - \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{d_i}{f(a_i)} a_n$$

Which gives us,

$$\int_{a_1}^{a_n} \frac{dx}{f(x)} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{d_n}{f(a_n)} < \frac{1}{a_n} \int_{a_1}^{a_n} \left\{ \frac{a_n}{f(x)} \right\} dx < \int_{a_1}^{a_n} \frac{dx}{f(x)} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{d_n}{f(a_{n+1})}$$

i.e.,

$$S_n \leq R_n \leq T_n \quad (8)$$

So, now let's suppose that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (T_n - S_n)$ exists, let it be L .

Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{d_i}{f(a_i)} - \frac{d_i}{f(a_{i+1})} \right) = L$$

Now, since $f(x)$ is continuous and strictly monotonically increasing, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d_i}{f(a_{i+1})} &< \int_{a_i}^{a_{i+1}} \frac{dx}{f(x)} < \frac{d_i}{f(a_i)} \\ 0 &< \int_{a_i}^{a_{i+1}} \frac{dx}{f(x)} - \frac{d_i}{f(a_{i+1})} < \frac{d_i}{f(a_i)} - \frac{d_i}{f(a_{i+1})} \end{aligned}$$

Summing up we get,

$$0 < \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_{a_i}^{a_{i+1}} \frac{dx}{f(x)} - \frac{d_i}{f(a_{i+1})} \right) < \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{d_i}{f(a_i)} - \frac{d_i}{f(a_{i+1})} \right)$$

Hence, we get

$$0 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (T_n - S_n)$$

From which we get, that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n$ exists (since T_n increases with n). Now, if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (T_n - S_n)$ exists and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n$ exists, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n$ also exists. Also, this implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_n$ exists, since $R_n - S_n$ is monotone increasing and bounded above. Let's focus on S_n at the moment

From the definition of the limit of a sequence, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (S_{n+1} - S_n) = 0$. From this relation we can deduce that, for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $n_0(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > n_0$

$$\left| \int_{a_1}^{a_n} \frac{dx}{f(x)} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{d_i}{f(a_i)} - \int_{a_1}^{a_{n+1}} \frac{dx}{f(x)} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{d_i}{f(a_i)} \right| < \epsilon$$

i.e.,

$$\left| \int_{a_n}^{a_{n+1}} \frac{dx}{f(x)} - \frac{d_n}{f(a_n)} \right| < \epsilon$$

That means, in other words, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{a_n}^{a_{n+1}} \frac{dx}{f(x)} - \frac{d_n}{f(a_n)} \right) = 0 \quad (9)$$

□

Theorem (1) will be our tool in getting deeper into the prime number mystery, especially the equation (9). That equation can be modified to accomodate the sequence of prime numbers, where $a_n = p_n$ (which is the n^{th} prime) is a strictly monotone increasing sequence. So we restate theorem (2) for prime numbers as follows,

If $f(x) : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a continuous and strictly monotone increasing function, p_n is the n^{th} prime, $d_n = p_{n+1} - p_n$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (T_n - S_n)$ exists then,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{p_n}^{p_{n+1}} \frac{dx}{f(x)} - \frac{d_n}{f(p_n)} \right) = 0$$

This is a powerful equation. The reason I am calling this equation powerful is, we can use, any monotonically increasing function $f(x)$. So $f(x)$ can be $\sqrt{x}$ or $\log(x)$, as per our requirements. Infact, this equation, wil help us analyze relations concerning,

$$\frac{d_n}{\log p_n}, \quad \frac{d_n}{(\log p_n)^2}, \quad \text{etc.}$$

In this manner, we have a consistent guiding tool, in dealing with prime gaps, as, we shall soon see below.

4 On prime differences

4.1 Definition of d_n

Let $d_n = p_{n+1} - p_n$ for every $n \geq 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We shall call d_i as the prime difference between the consecutive primes p_i and p_{i+1} . There are still many open problems regarding prime differences, among them some are notorious for being unsolved, like Cramér's conjecture, de Polignac's conjecture, etc.

4.2 On the growth of d_n

Now, comparing d_n with some function of p_n and estimating the rate of growth is among the key subjects in studying prime difference. For example, the classic old result that is known as Bertrand's Postulate ^[1], gives, $d_n \leq p_n$ for every $n \geq 1$ falls in this category of study.

By using the prime number theorem it has been shown that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n/p_n = 0$ ^[2]. But then again, since, $d_n < p_n$ for every $n \geq 1$, then $d_n = O(p_n)$. This leads to the question that which is the best function $f(p_n)$ such that $d_n = O(f(p_n))$. Cramér conjectured in 1937, that $d_n = O((\log p_n)^2)$. Furthermore, Cramér showed that if the Riemann Hypothesis is true, then $d_n = O(\sqrt{p_n} \log p_n)$ ^[3]. Before, we proceed further let's assume the following things.

4.3 Assumptions

Lets assume the following sums converge.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{d_i}{p_i} - \frac{d_i}{p_{i+1}} \right) = L_1$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{d_i}{\log p_i} - \frac{d_i}{\log p_{i+1}} \right) = L_2$$

Obviously, using our fundamental theorem we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_n}{p_n} - \int_{p_n}^{p_{n+1}} \frac{dx}{x} &= 0 \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_n}{\log p_n} - \int_{p_n}^{p_{n+1}} \frac{dx}{\log x} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Let's now proceed and see, how our tool helps us to tackle problems of prime gaps.

4.4 On $d_n = O(\theta p_n)$

We have,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{d_n}{p_n} - \int_{p_n}^{p_{n+1}} \frac{dx}{x} \right) = 0$$

Implies,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{p_{n+1}}{p_n} - \log \left(\frac{p_{n+1}}{p_n} \right) \right) = 1$$

Now suppose, we have t_m and t'_m such that $t_m < t'_m$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, with $t'_i < t'_j$ and $t_i < t_j$, whenever $i < j$. Let the $r = \pi(t_m)$ and $s = \pi(t'_m)$. We shall have, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} t_m/p_r = 1$ and $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} t'_m/p_s = 1$, i.e., we shall have $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} t'_m/t_m = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} p_s/p_r$. Hence, we can write the above equation in this context as

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=r}^{s-1} \left(\frac{p_{i+1}}{p_i} - \log \left(\frac{p_{i+1}}{p_i} \right) \right) = s - r$$

i.e.,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{i=r}^{s-1} \frac{p_{i+1}}{p_i} - \log \left(\frac{p_s}{p_r} \right) \right) = s - r$$

i.e.,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{i=r}^{s-1} \frac{p_{i+1}}{p_i} - \log \left(\frac{t'_m}{t_m} \right) \right) = s - r$$

Evidently, $\pi(t'_m) - \pi(t_m) \geq 0$. So, clearly, if $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \log(t'_m/t_m) > 0$ we must have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=r}^{s-1} \frac{p_{i+1}}{p_i} > 0$$

So that,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{i=r}^{s-1} \frac{p_{i+1}}{p_i} - \log \left(\frac{t'_m}{t_m} \right) \right) \geq 0$$

This means, at least one prime does exist, between t_m and t'_m as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, our result.

So, let's see how we can apply this theorem. Consider $t'_m = (1 + \theta)p_m$ and $t_m = p_m$, where $\theta > 0$ is any real number. Then,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \log(t'_m/t_m) = \log(1 + \theta) > 0$$

Hence, we have $d_n = O(\theta p_m)$. This shows, one of just many applications of our tool.

4.5 Studying $d_n/\log p_n$ and $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n$

Westzynthius showed in 1931 that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n/\log p_n = \infty$ [5]. We shall show that, by using our tool. Let's see how.

We already have from our assumptions,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{d_n}{\log p_n} - \int_{p_n}^{p_{n+1}} \frac{dx}{\log x} \right) = 0$$

This implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{d_n}{\log p_n} - Li(p_{n+1}) + Li(p_n) \right) = 0$$

So, we have,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_n}{\log p_n} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (Li(p_{n+1}) - Li(p_n)) \quad (10)$$

But it is known that arbitrarily large gaps can exist between two consecutive primes, and $Li(x)$ is a monotonic increasing function.

Hence, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (Li(p_{n+1}) - Li(p_n)) = \infty$. Thus we get Westzynthius' result

So we get the limit supremum. But what about, $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n/\log p_n$. Well, in 2005, D.A. Goldston, J. Pintz, and C.Y. Yıldırım, showed that [6]

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_n}{\log p_n} = 0$$

This means that,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (Li(p_{n+1}) - Li(p_n)) = 0$$

Which means, that there is a constant c such that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n \leq c$, because if there were no such c , then $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (Li(p_{n+1}) - Li(p_n))$ would not be zero. **This, again, resolves a problem regarding $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n$ [7], that is, whether the following equation holds true or not**

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n < \infty$$

Our arguments, therefore, suggests that it is true.

4.6 Studying $d_n/(\log p_n)^2$

From Goldston, Pintz, and Yıldırım's result, we can easily see that,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_n}{(\log p_n)^2} = 0$$

So, we only concern ourselves with the limit supremum.

Since his student days Cramér had been interested in the size of gaps between consecutive primes. In 1920, he sharpened his results to, $d_n = O(\sqrt{p_n} \log p_n)$. Gradually, Cramér ended up guessing, using probabilistic methods, that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n / (\log p_n)^2 = 1$$

We do not, discuss his model ^[3] in this paper, but we are only concerned with the above result about limit supremum. As we shall see, our arguments will help us verify and validate that what Cramér had said, using our tools. We already have,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{d_n}{\log p_n} - Li(p_{n+1}) + Li(p_n) \right) = 0 \quad (11)$$

Now consider $f(x) = (\log x)^2$

First we prove, that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (T_n - S_n)$ exists for such $f(x)$.

Here,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n - S_n = \sum_{i=p_1}^{\infty} \frac{d_i}{(\log p_i)^2} - \frac{d_i}{(\log p_{i+1})^2}$$

We use the comparison test ^[9] to show that the limit exists. Here, lets denote

$$a_k = \frac{d_k}{\log p_k} - \frac{d_k}{\log p_{k+1}} \quad \text{and} \quad b_k = \frac{d_k}{(\log p_k)^2} - \frac{d_k}{(\log p_{k+1})^2}$$

We can easily check that, as $k \rightarrow \infty$

$$0 < b_k < a_k \quad (12)$$

i.e.,

$$0 < \left(\frac{d_k}{(\log p_k)^2} - \frac{d_k}{(\log p_{k+1})^2} \right) < \left(\frac{d_k}{\log p_k} - \frac{d_k}{\log p_{k+1}} \right)$$

(Since as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\log p_n + \log p_{n+1} < \log p_n \log p_{n+1}$)

Hence, the sum $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_k$ converges, because we already have assumed that the sum $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_k$ converges. This implies, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n - S_n$ exists, for $f(x) = (\log x)^2$. Hence, we get,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{d_n}{(\log p_n)^2} - \int_{p_n}^{p_{n+1}} \frac{dx}{(\log x)^2} \right) = 0$$

Implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{d_n}{(\log p_n)^2} - Li(p_{n+1}) + Li(p_n) + \frac{p_{n+1}}{\log p_{n+1}} - \frac{p_n}{\log p_n} \right) = 0 \quad (13)$$

where, $Li(x)$ is the logarithmic integral function.

Using equation (11) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{d_n}{(\log p_n)^2} - \frac{d_n}{\log p_n} + \frac{p_{n+1}}{\log p_{n+1}} - \frac{p_n}{\log p_n} \right) &= 0 \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{d_n}{(\log p_n)^2} - \frac{p_{n+1}}{\log p_n} + \frac{p_{n+1}}{\log p_{n+1}} \right) &= 0 \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{d_n}{(\log p_n)^2} - \frac{p_{n+1}}{\log p_{n+1}} \left(\frac{\log p_{n+1}}{\log p_n} - 1 \right) \right) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_n}{(\log p_n)^2} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{n+1}}{\log p_{n+1}} \left(\frac{\log p_{n+1}}{\log p_n} - 1 \right) \quad (14)$$

Now, we have $p_n^{\frac{1}{n+1}} > p_n^{\frac{1}{n}}$. Also, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n^{\frac{1}{n}} = 1$. We know from the prime number theorem, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{n+1}/p_n = 1$. Hence, as we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{n+1}}{p_n}$$

Taking logarithm,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \log p_n \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \log p_{n+1} - \log p_n$$

Multiplying both sides by, $p_{n+1}/(\log p_{n+1} \log p_n)$ we get,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{n+1}}{(n+1) \log p_{n+1}} \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{n+1}}{\log p_{n+1}} \left(\frac{\log p_{n+1}}{\log p_n} - 1 \right)$$

Using the prime number theorem we know that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{n+1}}{(n+1) \log p_{n+1}} = 1$$

Hence, we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_n}{(\log p_n)^2} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{n+1}}{\log p_{n+1}} \left(\frac{\log p_{n+1}}{\log p_n} - 1 \right) \leq 1 \quad (15)$$

4.7 Studying $d_n/(\log p_n)^3$

It has already been shown that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n/(\log p_n) = 0$, implying $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n/(\log p_n)^3 = 0$. Also, it is obvious that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n/(\log p_n)^3 = 0$, since it follows from our discussion of Cramér's conjecture above.

4.8 Further problems related to $d_n/f(p_n)$

The general method to tackle problems such as these, would be, to first find out whether the $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n - S_n$ exists for the given $f(x)$. Then, proceed to the formula,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(d_n/f(p_n) - \int_{p_n}^{p_{n+1}} \frac{dx}{f(x)} \right) = 0$$

These results can also be applied in a similar way to sequences other than primes.

5 Conclusion

"Mathematicians have tried in vain to this day to discover some order in the sequence of prime numbers, and we have reason to believe that it is a mystery into which the human mind will never penetrate." – Leonhard Euler

Every research paper is incomplete, in the sense that, there's a lot more that is left to be written. But I have tried my best in giving forward the various sections in my study of fractional parts (although a lot more still needs to be done). This paper also shows that how our tools give us a deeper insight into the theory of prime differences, than any other tool existing today. I hope the reader has understood what I wanted to express, regarding the usefulness of the tools presented in this paper.

6 Acknowledgements

As always, my thanks goes to my mom, dad, and sister for making my life wonderful, and also, to my friend Craig Feinstein.

References

- [1] Sondow, Jonathan and Weisstein, Eric W. "Bertrand's Postulate." From MathWorld – A Wolfram Web Resource. <http://mathworld.wolfram.com/BertrandsPostulate.html>
- [2] Ribenboim, P. The New Book of Prime Number Records, 3rd Edition, Springer, p. 253, 1996
- [3] Cramér, H. "On the Order of Magnitude of the Difference between Consecutive Prime Numbers." *Acta Arith.* 2, 23-46, 1937.
- [4] Weisstein, Eric W. "Root Test." From MathWorld–A Wolfram Web Resource. <http://mathworld.wolfram.com/RootTest.html>
- [5] E. Westzynthius, "Über die verteilung der zahlen die zu den n ersten primzahlen teilerfremd sind," *Comm. Phys. Math. Helingsfors*, 5:5 (1931) 1-37.
- [6] Soundararajan, K. "Small Gaps Between Prime Numbers: The Work of Goldston-Pintz-Yildirim." *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 44, 1-18, 2007.
- [7] Ribenboim, P. The New Book of Prime Number Records, 3rd Edition, Springer, p. 252, 1996
- [8] Rosser, J. B. and Schoenfeld, L. "Approximate Formulas for Some Functions of Prime Numbers." *Illinois J. Math.* 6, 64-97, 1962.
- [9] Weisstein, Eric W. "Comparison Test." From MathWorld–A Wolfram Web Resource. <http://mathworld.wolfram.com/ComparisonTest.html>
- [10] Granville, A. "Harald Cramér and the Distribution of Prime Numbers." *Scand. Act. J.* 1, 12-28, 1995.